This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597274

Cyclopolymerization Initiated by Peroxydisulfate Ion and Metal Ion Catalysis

N. R. Subbaratnam^a; S. P. Manickam^a; P. Venuvanalingam^a; A. Gopalan^a ^a Department of Physical Chemistry, School of Chemistry Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai, India

To cite this Article Subbaratnam, N. R. , Manickam, S. P. , Venuvanalingam, P. and Gopalan, A.(1986) 'Cyclopolymerization Initiated by Peroxydisulfate Ion and Metal Ion Catalysis', Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part A, 23: 1, 117 - 128

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00222338608063380 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222338608063380

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Cyclopolymerization Initiated by Peroxydisulfate Ion and Metal Ion Catalysis*

N. R. SUBBARATNAM, S. P. MANICKAM, P. VENUVANALINGAM, and A. GOPALAN

Department of Physical Chemistry School of Chemistry Madurai Kamaraj University Madurai 625021, India

ABSTRACT

The kinetics of cyclopolymerization of N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide (MBA) was carried out using peroxydisulfate ion as initiator. The rate of polymerization, R_p , was found to be proportional to [M] and $[S_2O_8^{2^-}]^{1/2}$ for the uncatalyzed system. A cyclopolym-

erization mechanism was found to fit the experimental results. The $k_{21}/k_t^{1/2}$ value for MBA polymerization was evaluated. Evidence in

favor of the cyclopolymerization mechanism is provided. The addition of Ag^{+} ion enhances R_{n}^{-} .

^{*}Presented at the Annual Convention of Chemists, 1981, Madras, India, p. 39, Abs PHY 9.

INTRODUCTION

Ordinarily peroxydisulfate can be employed along with reducing agents as a redox system for initiating polymerization [1-3]. Use of this compound as a sole initiator is, however, rare. We have recently published our findings on such an application in the polymerization of acrylamide, methacrylamide, acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, and acrylonitrile, all monovinyl monomers [4-8]. Now we have taken up similar work with a divinyl monomer and peroxydisulfate as sole initiator. N,N'-Methylenebisacrylamide is a symmetrical nonconjugated diolefin that may be expected to lead to cross-linking. However, when this monomer was polymerized by redox systems, unexpectedly smooth kinetics were obtained. Therefore, a cyclopolymerization step was invoked [9-11] for propagation on the lines of the Butler and Ingley [12] mechanism for analogous cases. It would now be interesting to see how this monomer behaves with peroxydisulfate as a single initiator for polymerization. Ag⁺ ion catalysis is also covered in this study.

EXPERIMENTAL

N,N'-Methylenebisacrylamide (Koch-Light) was recrystallized from acetone at $40^{\circ}C$ [9]. Potassium peroxydisulfate, $K_{2}S_{2}O_{2}$, (E.

Merck) was recrystallized twice from doubly distilled water. Water, twice distilled over alkaline permanganate, was used to prepare all solutions. Nitrogen purified by passing through Fieser's solution was used to deaerate the reaction mixture. Temperature was controlled to $\pm 0.01^{\circ}$ C in a thermostat.

The reaction mixture (20 mL) containing monomer and a neutral salt K_2SO_4 (to adjust the ionic strength, μ) was deaerated for 30 min in a thermostat, and the reaction was started by the addition of $K_2S_2O_8$ solution. The rate of polymerization was followed by estimating the monomer concentrations by bromometry [13] at two different time intervals and after the attainment of the steady state. Homogeneous polymerization was obtained only at low monomer concentrations and at polymerization conversions below 70%. Hence kinetic studies were restricted to conditions leading to homogeneous polymerization could be studied only in low monomer concentration ranges. This made the separation of polymers from the reaction mixture difficult, and no characterization was possible under the experimental conditions chosen here for kinetic studies.

Polymerization experiments involving Ag^+ ions in the reaction mixture were carried out in the dark.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Uncatalyzed Polymerization

The rate of polymerization R_p was found to depend on [M] (Fig. 1) and $[S_2O_8^{2^-}]^{1/2}$ (Fig. 2) under steady-state conditions. The activation energy for the polymerization reaction was calculated to be ~25.2 kcal/mol from the Arrhenius plot of log k vs 1/T (Fig 3). R_p was

found to decrease slightly with increasing ionic strength (Table 1). Results on the kinetics of nonconjugated diolefin polymerization

seem to indicate that, while initiation and termination processes are similar to those for monovinyl polymerization, a novel chain mechanism is involved in propagation [14]. We propose here a kinetic scheme incorporating cyclopolymerization as the new propagation step to derive the rate expression.

I. Initiation

$$s_2 O_8^{2-} \xrightarrow{\kappa_0} 2sO_4^{--}$$
 (1)

$$SO_4^{-} + H_2O \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} HSO_4^{-} + OH$$
 (2)

$$SO_4^{-} + M \xrightarrow{k_2} M_1^{-}$$
 (3)

$$OH + M \xrightarrow{K_3} M_1$$
 (4)

II. Propagation

a) $M_1 \stackrel{k_c}{\longrightarrow} M_2$ cyclization

1...

- b) $M_1 + M_1 M_1$ linear propagation
- c) $M_2 + M_1 \xrightarrow{k_{21}} M_1$ propagation
- d) $M_1 + M_3 \xrightarrow{k_{13}} M_3$ crosslinking



FIG. 1. Dependence of R_p on [M]. R_p vs [M] in uncatalyzed and Ag⁺catalyzed polymerization, t = 35°C, μ = 0.5 mol/L (A, $[S_2O_8^{2^-}] = 0.005$ mol/L; B, $[S_2O_8^{2^-}] = 0.008$ mol/L; C, $[S_2O_8^{2^-}] = 0.01$ mol/L; D, $[S_2O_8^{2^-}] = 0.01$ mol/L, $[Ag^+] = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/L).



FIG. 2. Dependence of R_p on $[S_2O_8^{2^-}]^{1/2}$. R_p vs $[S_2O_8^{2^-}]^{1/2}$ in uncatalyzed and Ag⁺-catalyzed polymerization, t = 35 °C, μ = 0.5 mol/L (A, [M] = 0.016 mol/L; B, [M] = 0.02 mol/L; C, [M] = 0.035 mol/L; D, [M] = 0.02 mol/L, [Ag⁺] = 1.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol/L).



FIG. 3. Plot of log k vs T⁻¹ for the polymerization of N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide in the uncatalyzed and Ag⁺-catalyzed system. [M] = 0.02 mol/L, $[S_2O_8^{2^-}] = 0.01 \text{ mol/L}$, $\mu = 0.5 \text{ mol/L}$ (A, $[Ag^+] = 0$; B, $[Ag^+] = 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/L}$). Dependence of R_p² on [Ag⁺]. C, [M] = 0.02 mol/L, $[S_2O_8^{2^-}] = 0.01 \text{ mol/L}$, $\mu = 0.5 \text{ mol/L}$, t = 35°C.

$Ag^+ \times 10^4$ mol/L	Ionic strength μ , mol/L	$\frac{R_{p} \times 10^{4}}{mol \cdot L^{-1} \cdot min^{-1}}$		
-	0.03	2.41		
-	0.07	2.30		
-	0.13	2.22		
-	0.25	2.06		
-	0. 50	2.00		
-	1.00	1.86		
1.0	0.03	17.9		
1.0	0.11	14.4		
1.0	0.19	10.9		
1.0	0.40	9.40		
1.0	0. 50	7.02		
1.0	1.00	3.90		

TABLE 1. Effect of Ionic Strength on the Rate of Polymerization: [M] = 0.02 mol/L, $[S_2O_8^{2^{-}}]$ = 0.01 mol/L, 35°C

e)
$$M_2 + M_3 \xrightarrow{k_{23}} M_3$$
 crosslinking
f) $M_3 + M_1 \xrightarrow{k_{31}} M_1$ pendant radical propagation
g) $M_3 + M_3 \xrightarrow{k_{33}} M_3$ crosslinking
III. Termination

 $M_2' + M_2' - \frac{k_t}{k_t}$ polymer

Here M_1 could represent either of the double bonds of the previously unreacted symmetrical monomer. M_1 is the radical produced when M_1 is attacked by a radical. M_2 is the radical when M_1 cyclizes. M_3 may be taken as a pendant double bond present in M_1 and capable of acting as a new site for further radical attack. M_3 may be the radical when M_3 is attacked by a radical. The reactions under such categories as "pendant double bond propagation" and "crosslinking" take place when the pendant double bond M_3 reacts with the radical.

The initiating radicals SO_4^{-1} and 'OH are produced by the slow homolysis of $S_2O_8^{-2}$. M_1 is converted to M_1^{-1} by the attack of these radicals (Step 3 and Step 4).

There are two competing pathways for propagation: (i) intramolecular cyclization of M_1 between the radical part and the double bond present in the same repeating unit as in II(a), and (ii) normal intermolecular propagation as in II(b) forming a radical containing the unreacted pendant double bonds M_3 (Scheme 1).

The relative probability of these two modes depends on monomer structure and on the stability of the ring formed during cyclization. The monomer is a symmetrical nonconjugated diolefin capable of forming a seven-membered ring during cyclization [9]. If the ring formed during cyclization is five- or six-membered, highly cyclized polymers



CYCLOPOLYMERIZATION

are formed. This is due to the reduced strain during cyclization [15]. Monomers that can form rings of seven or more members on cyclization would contain fewer cyclized units. However, Ziegler and Holl [16] revealed that substitution of carbonyl or oxygen for methylene groups in the ring reduces the strain by decreasing the number of interfering hydrogens. In the present case the presence of two carbonyl groups accounts for the stability of the ring by lowering the ring strain. The probability of cyclization is, therefore, enhanced.

The monovinyl-type linear propagation, $\Pi(b)$, would be a sterically hindered path because of the long pendant group which provides a shielding effect to the approach of another monomer unit to M_1 . Fur-

ther, the monomer concentration in our studies was very low and, therefore, M_1^{\cdot} will have relatively little opportunity to encounter

another monomer molecule compared to intramolecular cyclization. From the above considerations, Step II(a) is preferred over Step II(b). All reactions involving M_3 and M_3 arise only if Reaction II(b) takes

place. In view of the low probability of II(b), such reactions can be ruled out for practical purposes.

Now the polymer chain grows by Step II(c), where M_2 , the cyclized

radical, reacts with another monomer molecule. This can take place at a faster rate because there is no steric hindrance to the approach of another monomer molecule because it does not contain the pendant group. The resulting M_1 cyclizes, and the sequence repeats itself.

For a symmetrical nonconjugated diolefin, when cyclization is the preferred path, termination is predominantly by mutual interaction between growing radicals [15]. Taking the mutual termination and the above-discussed initiation and propagation, a rate expression can be derived for steady-state conditions on the assumption of an initiator efficiency of unity:

$$R_{p} = \frac{d[M_{1}]}{dt} = \sqrt{2} \frac{k_{21}}{k_{+}^{1/2}} k_{0}^{1/2} [M] [S_{2}O_{8}^{2^{-}}]^{1/2}$$

This expression is in agreement with our experimentally observed dependence on R_p on [M] (Fig. 1) and $[S_2O_8^{2^-}]^{1/2}$ (Fig. 2). Taking the rate of initiation as the rate of aqueous decomposition of $S_2O_8^{2^-}$ under the same experimental conditions, $k_{21}/k_t^{1/2}$ was calculated at different temperatures (Table 2). Butler et al. [17] polymerized divinyl monomers, and compared $k_{21}/k_t^{1/2}$ with reported values for the monovinyl counterparts. The $k_{21}/k_t^{1/2}$ value was almost always larger than $k_p/k_t^{1/2}$ for the monovinyl analogs. Butler et al. [17] took these

Temperature, °C	$k_{21}/k_t^{1/2},$ mol ^{-1/2} ·L ^{1/2} ·s ^{-1/2}
35	3.54
40	4.51
45	6.27
50	7.04

TABLE 2.	Ratio of	Propagation	and	Termination	Rate	Constants	in
the Uncatal	yzed Pol	ymerization	of N	N'-Methylen,	nebisa	acrylami <mark>de</mark>	at
Various Te	mperatu	res					

results as supporting evidence for cyclopolymerization of the divinyl monomer.

In this work, $k_{21}/k_t^{1/2}$ of N,N'-methylenebisacrylamide (MBA) is compared with acrylamide (AA) and also methacrylamide (MAA). For comparison $k_p/k_t^{1/2}$ for AA [18] and MAA [19], 0.42 mol^{-1/2}. $L^{1/2} \cdot s^{-1/2}$ and 5.60 mol^{-1/2}· $L^{1/2} \cdot s^{-1/2}$, respectively, at 50°C were used. The lower $k_p/k_t^{1/2}$ value for MAA than for AA can be attributed to the steric hindrance given by the α -methyl group for MAA propagation. MBA has a bulky substituent as in MAA and is expected to have a lower value for $k_p/k_t^{1/2}$ than for AA if a monovinyl-type propagation prevails. What is significant here is that the observed higher value of $k_{21}/k_t^{1/2}$ (7.04 mol^{-1/2}· $L^{1/2} \cdot s^{-1/2}$, 50°C) adds evidence for cyclopolymerization as a propagation mode for MBA. The comparison of the overall rate of polymerization of MBA with AA and MAA under identical conditions also supports this because the order of reactivity is MBA > AA > MAA (Table 3).

The initiation and termination steps thus appear to be the same as found with systems based on $S_2O_8^{2-}$ and monovinyl monomers [4-8].

However, propagation involves cyclopolymerization, as found with the divinyl monomer and redox systems [9-11].

Ag⁺-Catalyzed Polymerization

The activation energy for the polymerization was reduced from 25.2 kcal/mol (uncatalyzed) to ~11.2 kcal/mol (catalyzed). This reveals that Ag⁺ catalyzes the polymerization. In the presence of Ag⁺, R_p depended on $[S_2O_8^{2^-}]^{1/2}$, [M], and $[Ag^+]^{1/2}$. Plots of R_p versus

Monomer M	[M], mol/L	$R_{p} \times 10^{4}$ mol·L ⁻¹ ·min ⁻¹	
Acrylamide (AA)	0.04	10.8	
Methacrylamide (MAA)	0.04	0.97	
N,N'-Methylenebisacrylamide (MBA)	0.04	17.7	

TABLE 3. Comparison of Overall Rate of Polymerization: $[S_2O_8^{2^{-}}] = 0.02 \text{ mol/L}, \mu = 0.5 \text{ mol/L}, 40^{\circ}C$

[M] (Fig. 1) and $[S_2O_8^{2^-}]^{1/2}$, (Fig. 2) were linear and passed through the origin. The plot of R_p^2 versus Ag^+ (Fig. 3) was also linear without intercept on the Y-axis, indicating that the contribution of uncatalyzed polymerization is very small. R_p decreases with increasing ionic strength (Table 1). The following additional steps along with the initiation reactions given for uncatalyzed polymerization are added to explain the kinetics in the presence of Ag^+ ions.

$$Ag^{+}(aq) + S_2O_8^{2-} \xrightarrow{K} AgS_2O_8^{-}(aq)$$
 (5)

$$AgS_{2}O_{8}^{-}(aq) \xrightarrow{k_{4}} Ag^{+} + SO_{4}^{-} + HSO_{4}^{-} + OH$$
 (6)

With cyclopolymerization as the propagation step and with mutual termination, a kinetic expression is derived for steady-state conditions:

$$\mathbf{R}_{p} = \sqrt{2} \, \mathbf{k}_{21} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_{4} \mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{k}_{t}} \right)^{1/2} \, \left[\mathbf{Ag}^{+} \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbf{S}_{2} \mathbf{O}_{8}^{2} \right]^{1/2} \left[\mathbf{M} \right]$$
(7)

Rate expression (7) agrees well with our experimental results. Complexation between Ag⁺(aq) and S₂O₈²⁻ was suggested in our earlier studies [5-7]. The observed slight negative salt effect (Table 1) may be due to the involvement of Equilibrium (5). By substituting the $k_{21}/k_t^{1/2}$ value from the uncatalyzed system (Table 1), the k_4 K value is found to be 0.010 mol⁻¹·L·s⁻¹ at 25°C. It is remarkable that this is in close agreement with the value reported (0.012 mol⁻¹·L·s⁻¹, 25°C) in the Ag^+ -catalyzed decomposition study of just $S_9O_{Q}^{2-}$ in aqueous solution [20].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr K. Venkatarao, Reader, Department of Polymer Science, University of Madras, for his helpful suggestions. Financial support of CSIR, New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- F. Rodriguez and R. D. Givery, J. Polym. Sci., 55, 713 (1961). [1]
- [2] R. G. R. Bacon, Trans. Faraday Soc., 42, 140 (1946).
- [3]
- L. B. Morgan, Ibid., 42, 169 (1946). U. Chandra Singh, S. P. Manickam, and K. Venkatarao, Makro-[4] mol. Chem., 180, 589 (1979).
- S. P. Manickam, K. Venkatarao, and N. R. Subbaratnam, J. [5] Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 18, 1679 (1980).
- S. P. Manickam, K. Venkatarao, and N. R. Subbaratnam, Eur. [6] Polym. J., 15, 483 (1979).
- S. P. Manickam, U. Chandra Singh, K. Venkatarao, and N. R. [7] Subbaratnam, J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 16, 2701 (1978).
- S. P. Manickam, N. R. Subbaratnam, and K. Venkatarao, J. [8] Macromol. Sci.-Chem., A15, 1511 (1981).
- A. Gopalan, P. Venuvanalingam, S. P. 1 unickam, K. Venkatarao, [9] and N. R. Subbaratnam, Eur. Polym. J., 18, 531 (1981).
- S. Paulrajan, A. Gopalan, K. Venkatarao, and N. R. Subbaratnam, [10] Polymer, 24, 906 (1983).
- A. Gopalan, S. Paulrajan, K. Venkatarao, and N. R. Subbaratnam, [11] Eur. Polym. J., 19, 817 (1983).
- G. B. Butler and F. L. Ingley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 894 (1951). [12]
- [13] G. Mino, S. Kaizermann, and S. Rasmussen, J. Polym. Sci., 38, 393 (1959).
- [14] W. E. Gibbs, J. Polym. Sci., A2, 4815 (1964).
- [15] W. E. Gibbs and J. A. Barton, Vinyl Polymerization, (G. E. Ham, ed.), Dekker, New York, 1967, p. 49.
- K. Ziegler and H. Holl, Ann., 528, 143 (1937). [16]
- G. B. Butler and S. Kimura, J. Macromol. Sci.-Chem., A5, 181 [17] (1971).
- [18] F. S. Dainton and W. D. Sisley, Trans. Faraday Soc., 59, 1369 (1963).
- D. J. Currie, F. S. Dainton, and W. S. Watt, Polymer, 6, 451 [19] (1965).
- [20] U. Chandra Singh and K. Venkatarao, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 38, 541 (1976).

Received June 13, 1984

Revision received November 30, 1984